



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART

ť:

. Unclassified	mid "/		
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date !			
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM		
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOV ACCESSION NO			
4. All Chand Subitite)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD CONERED		
Person X Situation Research Strategies for	Interim X		
Assessing Ethnocultural Factors in Social	41		
Competence,	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
A AUTHORIA.	6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)		
Norman Dinges LorRaine Duffy	NØ9914-77-C-Ø345		
Kenneth Tokuno Spero/Manson			
S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM LLEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS		
The Institute of Behavioral Sciences	•		
250 Ward Avenue	NR 170-851		
Ronolulu, Bawaii 96814	Ta REPORT DATE		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12 October 1979		
Organizational Effectiveness Research Program Office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA 22217	13. HUMBER OF PAGES 12 36		
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(Il dillerent from Controlling Office)			
1	Unclassified		
1412	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING		
The state of the s	SCHEDULE SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
Approved for public release; distribution unlim	ited		
	DTIC .		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in Block 20, If different in	APR 9 1980		
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
In press: <u>International Journal of Intercultura</u>	al Relations, 1980.		
•			
•			
19. KEY FORDS (Continue on severes side if necessary and identify by block number	")		
Person X Situation Research. THIS DOCUMENT f	S BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE.		
Social Competence	MED TO DDC CONTAINED A		
Ethnocultural Factors.  Hawaiian Ethnic Groups  SIGNIFICANT NUM  SIGNIFICANT NUM  STREET OF THE COPY FORMIS	MBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT		
REFRODUCE TRACE			
20. AUSTRACT (Centinus on severes aids if necessary and identify by block number			
Person x situation research strategies were used	d for assessing ethnocultural		
factors in social competence. Videotape vignet	tes depicting the responses		
of different Hawaiian ethnic groups to simulated problematic life situations			
vere viewed by judges of similar and different ethnicity. Judge's perceptions of the internal states of the stimulus persons and of their response			
competence in problematic situations were obtain	ned. Main effects due to		
estuation and interaction effects for ethuseity	of etimine pareon and situa-		

DD 1 JAH 7, 1473

stimulus persons. Pattern differences for stimulus persons were detectable for situational content, situational difficulty, and scale items in the different categories of competence judgment. There were no significant differences for ethnicity of the judge in assessment of competence. These results are discussed in light of assumptions about ethnic similarity-dissimilarity in judgments of the internal states and the social competence of others.

#### **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

ethnocultural factors in social competence. Videotape vignettes depicting the responses of different Hawaiian ethnic groups to simulated problematic

Person x situation research strategies were used for assessing

ASSESSING ETHNOCULTURAL FACTORS IN SOCIAL COMPETENCE PERSON X SITUATION RESEARCH STRATEGIES FOR

Norman Dinges Kenneth Tokuno LorRaine Duffy Spero Kanson The Institute of Behavioral Sciences

similarity-dissimilarity in judgments of the internal states and the social

competence of others.

were detectible for situational content, situational difficulty, and scale

significant differences for athnicity of the judge in assessment of compe-

tence. These results are discussed in light of assumptions about ethnic

items in the different categories of competence judgment. There were no

effects due to situation and interaction effects for ethnicity of stimulus

their response competence in problematic situations were obtained. Main

person and situation were found in a large number of competence judgments for different stimulus persons. Pattern differences for stimulus persons

Judge's perceptions of the internal states of the stimulus persons and of

life situations were viewed by judges of similar and different ethnicity.

Monolulu, Mawaii

This research was sponsored by Organizational Effectiveness Research Programs, Office of Haval Rosearch, Contract No. NGC014-77-C-0345.

1		1			
	200		/ Codes	d/or	
Accession For	NTIS GLIKI DDC TAB Unsmicunced Justification			A.ailand/or Specjal	33
Acces	NTIS G DDC TAB Unannou	By	Distribu	Dist	A

80

020

Theoretical models of interpersonal competence typically fail to consider ethnocultural factors in assessing the ability to effectively interact with others (Dinges & Duffy, 1979). Moreover, such models are strongly bound to traft conceptions of competence in which the competent person is portrayed as one who possesses a set of core characteristics which explain effectiveness in interacting with persons from different cultural or ethnic backgrounds (e.g., Smith, 1963). This view ignores situational variables which may have an important role in determining response effectiveness. Some models imply situational variability in considerations of competence, such as the behavior analytic approach of Guthrie (1975) and the transcultural model of Heath (1977). Heath, in particular, recognizes the importance of situational factors but does not establish a methodology for the study of situations, nor is empirical evidence provided which examines the situational dimension of intercultural or interethnic competence.

There are available methods for improving the understanding of interethnic competence which have been developed in attempts to assess other human competencies (e.g., Goldfried & D'Zurrila, 1969; French, Rogers, & Cobb, 1974; Lazarus, Averill, & Opton, 1974). These concepts and methods have been largely ignored by studies of interethnic competence. Their application answers many of the criticisms (e.g., most prominently the neglect of situational variables) of previous research in the area. It is possible to combine these models with person X situation research methodologies and begin to gain some control over the eximulus situation in which competence is assessed.

situations. Criterion analysis thus takes the form of assessment of specific those which, because of novelty or conflicting demands, present circumstances interethnic competence are enhanced by the increasing correspondence between that involve a failure or inadequacy of previously successful responses and D'Zurrila (1969), which views the competent person as one who is capable of The advantage of this approach is that the emphasis is on individuals (as opposed to hypothetical personality traits), situations, and the specific person X situation intereffective responses to problematic situations. Problematic situations are reaction to the situation and include complex overt behavioral patterns as the situations and criterion behaviors for effectively responding to those A behavior analytic model of competence is provided by Goldfriec and actions. The predictive efficiency and empirical validity of measures of thus require problem-solving. Effective responses are viewed as a total well as covert thoughts and plans for future action. person X situation interactions.

The goal of this study was to partially overcome the inadequacies of past assessment methodologies as identified here and in recent reviews (e.g., Suncherg, 1978) by focusing on the measurement of competence within problematic situations which might be considered adequate tests for criterion analysis following the general approach of Goldfried and D'Zurrila (1969). The approach was also designed to incorporate recent theoretical and methodological developments in person X situation research strategies for use in studying interethnic competence (e.g., Endler & Magnusson, 1976; Magnusson & Endler, 1977).

This report is divided into three sections discussing different phases of the research aimed at developing problematic situations and measuring competencies within these situations. The first phase involved formulation of problematic situations with the goal of controlling for stimulus factors of

of difficulty and content. The dimensions of difficulty and content are considered to be important elements of situation elicitation potential, which must be measured before response analysis for intercthnic competence can be done. The second phase consisted of an analysis of actual responses to the problematic situations. The third phase involved refinements of this analysis with increased control over stimulus situations and judge factors.

# DEVELOPMENT AND ANALYSIS OF STIMULUS SITUATIONS

Prior to the assessment of response competence, it was necessary to select a set of situations with differential elicitation potential. The selection of these situations focused on the content and difficulty of the situations. Content was chosen that would be somewhat familiar to the subjects, insuring that at least minimal response would be made. Difficulty was varied by devising stuations which contained interpersonally anxiety arousing events. The situation elicitation potential was assessed in order to insure that a wide range of responses was produced for subsequent judgments by other observers.

#### METHO

### io lects

Ss were 8 undergraduate students 18-24 years of age and long-time residents of Hawaii (all of their lives spent in Hawaii with no major extended absence from the State). They were informed that they were to serve as subjects for making videotaped situations that would be seen by other subjects. Each gender of four ethnic groups (Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, and Caucasian) were used. All Ss were paid for their participation.

# Study Setting and Equipment

Two adjacent offices were used for videotaping. El's office contained a Sony Solid State Videocorder (AV3653) and a Sony Transistor Monitor (CVM-115), which which to observe and regulate equipment being used in the Ss office. The videotaping equipment contained a Sony Solid State Videocorder (AV 365G) and a Sony camera mounted on a tripod facing a table and a chair 8 feet away.

### Situational Stimuli

Four content categories were used to provide a range of situations. Two versions of each category were formulated for the purpose of varying the difficulty level of the situation. The four content categories and difficulty levels are described below.

### Category i (Family)

- Level 1: You and your parents are having a mild disagreement.
- Level 2: You and your parents are having an intense argument.

### Category II (Job)

Level 1: You have just had your work hours shortened so that a

person from another ethnic group could be hired.

Level 2: You have just been fired so that a person from another ethnic group could be hired.

## Category III (Illness)

- Level 1: You've just been told that your friend is mildly ill.
- Level 2: You've just been told that your friend is seriously ill

### Category IV (School)

- Level 1: You've just gotten your grades and have flunked a course.
  - Level 2: You've just gotten your grades and have flunked out of school.

## Videotaping Procedures

Each subject was videotaped individually. The entire videotaping session was recorded, including induction trials and the preparatory phase of formulating a response to the situation. Ss were escorted to the videotaping office by E2 and seated at a small desk facing the camera. Ss were informed that they would be videotaping themselves responding to eight different situations that would be presented to them in written form. Prior to videotaping of the test situations, Ss were trained in the general response format with two induction trials. Induction situations were used which consisted of similar problem solving content as the eight test situations. Ss were instructed to respond to three major questions in each situations in order to insure a general similarity of response content for the situations:

- 1) What are you thinking about the situation?
- 2) What are you feeling in the situation?
- 3) what will you do about the situation?

Emphasis was placed on responding to the situations as if they were actually experiencing them. When subjects were familiar with the procedure, 22 presented the remaining eight situations to the S and left the room. Ss then continued the videotaping in the following sequence: 1) read the situation to themselves and prepare a response, 2) read the situation out loud, 3) respond, and 4) complete the rating scales. This sequence occurred eight times for each subject was approximately 75 minutes. The order of presentation of the situations was randomized for each subject. This resulted in a total of 64 stimulus situations varying in content, difficulty level, sex, and ethnicity.

### Situation Scales

Ss were required to fill out two scales after they had responded to each of the eight situations. The first scale was S-R inventory of Anxiousness (Endier, Hunt, & Rosenstein, 1962). The S-R inventory consists of Likerttype items and is designed to represent positive as well as negative excitement in the subject's perception of physiological responses. The second scale was composed of 24 items selected from the Differential Emotional Scale (DES) developed by izard (1972). The items selected represent the three highest loading adjectives for each of eight-factor analytically derived dimensions of the scale. These items were randomly ordered and presented in a five-point Likert type response format.

### RESULTS

# S-R Inventory of Anxlousness

An Ethnicity X Situation X Difficulty X question ANOVA was computed for responses to the S-R inventory. There were significant main effects for the Questions (F = 13.15, p. .001) and Difficulty Level (F = 9.79, p. .05). No other main effects were significant. There were significant interaction effects for Difficulty Level X Question (F = 2.61, p. .001) and for Situation X Difficulty Level X Question (F = 1.56, p. .05). No other interactions were significant.

#### DEC

An Ethnicity X Situation X Difficulty Level X Questions ANOVA was computed for factored scores of the DES. Main effects for Situation (F = 10.07, p .001) Difficulty Level (F = 11.12, p .05), and Questions (F = 15.93, p .001) were found. No other significant main effects were found. There were

significant interaction effects for Situation X Ethnicity, Situation X Difficulty Level, Difficulty Level X Questions, and Situation X Difficulty Level X Questions.

Inspection of the mean differences for the Situation main effect indicated that the DES ratings for School and Job situations were equally high with lower ratings for the Illness situation and the lowest ratings for the Family situation. Inspection of the pattern of cell mean differences for the Ethnicity X Situation effect indicated that Filipino Ss gave higher ratings on the job situation than the other ethnicities. The Family and Illness situations were rated approximately equal for all ethnic groups. For the school situation, Filipino Ss gave the lowest ratings with other ethnicities rating that situation higher.

### DISCUSSION

The results for both the S-R Inventory and the DES indicated that the situational content and degree of difficulty were successfully controlled in the construction of behavioral stimuli for use in response competence analysis. As indicated by scale responses, the socially stressful situational content, its formulation for presentation in simulated life situations, and qualifiers designed to change the experienced level of difficulty were all successfully varied.

The results for the S-R inventory indicated variation in difficulty level but not situational content, which suggests that the situation elicitation potential measured by this scale is nore sensitive to magnitude of stress within similar content categories as opposed to variation across content of situations. The complex interaction between content, difficulty level and

S-R questions indicated that situational content relates to emotional response patterns in a way that is meaningful in terms of the saliency of current life experiences of the SPs.

A SECOND CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

experienced similarly by the ethnic groups in this sample. However, the pattern particular interest for understanding emotional dynamics among different ethnic enrollments. Conversely, the Filipinos have been quite assertive in protesting reflect a differential emphasis on jobs over higher education among Filipinos. of higher ratings for the Filipino as opposed to the other ethnicities in the By contrast, the DES results indicated clear differences between differdifferences would seem to reflect a concern for those situations, as opposed population in Hawaii, the Filipinos are underrepresented in higher education groups in varied life situations. The generally similar pattern of emotion job situation and the reversal of this pattern on the school situation may interpreted as a demonstration of the realities of the contemporary social job discrimination and demanding equal employment opportunities. This may ent situational contents. Since the life circumstances of the SPs in this conditions in Hawaii. Relative to their proportion of the general ethnic to the relatively low ratings for the illness and family situations. The ratings for the family and illness situations suggested that they may be sample involved school and work to a great extent, the pattern of mean situation X ethnicity interaction pattern of mean differences was of but replication with larger samples would be needed for confirmation.

The method used yields interpretable differences as a function of situational content and difficulty level. The results also show that scales derived from larger samples can be used in an analysis of person-ethnicity-situation dynamics.

# INTERETHING JUDGHENTS OF COMPETENCE AND SUSJECTIVE EXPERIENCE

The second phase of this study involved the use of the stimulus materials just described. Two major objectives of this phase were to: 1) measure the competence of the responses of the Stimulus Persons (SPs) in the situations, and 2) to measure the ability of persons from the same or from different ethnic groups to accurately judge the subjective experience of the SPs. It is a general assumption that individuals from different ethnic backgrounds possess different standards for evaluating response competence in a given situation. This assumption has been made for the strategy of using individuals from a targeted ethnic group to train others to work with people from that group. Heath (1977) has argued that certain dimensions of competence transcend different ethnocultural socialization based on empirical evidence from analysis of Western cultures. The current study used Heath's model in developing measures of competence and applied it to an extended range of ethnic groups within the context of situational, variability.

It has also been assumed that individuals from a given ethnic group are more sensitive to the subjective experience of others of similar ethnicity. This belief is linked to the theory that common socialization experiences within a given culture are critical in recognizing the subjective states of others from observable cues. There has been virtually no prior empirical research to verify this assumption and the present research therefore contributes directly to this issue.

### METHODS

### Subfacts

Subjects were 64 undergraduate students 18-24 years of age and local residents of Hawaii with the same general background as the SPs. They wer

recruited for study participation through class announcements, posters, and word-of-mouth communications. They were informed that they were to serve as Ss in judging videotapes that would take about one hour of their time. The 64 Ss were selected from four ethnic groups (Chinese, n = 16; Japanese, n = 16; Fillpino, n = 16; and Caucasian, n = 16) of which there were eight males and eight females in each ethnic group. All Ss were paid for their participation.

# Study Setting and Equipment

The viewing environment was a 14° x 14° office containing a Sony Solid State Videocorder (AV 3650) and a Sony Transistor Monitor (CVN115). It was furnished in typical office fashion containing bookshelves, chairs, and work table.

### Stimulus Situations

The 64 videotaped situations described previously were used as viewing stimuli. The SPs responses to the situations were edited so that only the response to the situation was viewed. Each judge viewed each ethnicity and gender SP responding to one of the situations. The situations were randomly ordered so that the judge viewed each of the eight SPs in one of the possible eight situations. Table I presents a summary of the various combinations of ethnicity and sex of judge, ethnicity and sex of SP, and the content and difficulty level of the situations.

# Videotape Judging Procedures

Except for three instances in which two judges viewed the tapes together, each judge viewed the tapes individually. Judges were given a general orientation indicating that their task involved viewing and judging different persons talking about life situations to which they had been asked to respond. Judges

=

(M - 16) ELHHICILL YND 2EX OL ORDCE

(91 -		fa:	- N)	(91	. 41	101	MA9AC • M)		
FENALE n+8	NALE n-8	FEWLE 8+n	31AN 8-n	3JAM37 6-n	8+A 8+A	3JAY31 8+n	3.1AN 8*n		•
	A5 A6 A5 Af 85 86 85 8f	AP AC AS AT BP BC BS BT	A AC AS AI 8> 8C 8S 8I	14 24 3A 4A 18 28 3B 4B	4) 8C 82 81	AS AC AS AT ES BC ES BT	AN AC AS AI EN BE BS BI	M	(K-2)
•	•	•	•	•		•	•	3	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	М	(+S)
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	å	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	н	ontati (S=#)
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
•	•	•	•	•	•	•		и	(K-2)
•		•	•	•	•	•	_•	4	

Fired from job. Mild dissgreement with parents. Intense argument with parents. 33 = Hearing of friend's serious filmess. 4A = flunked a course in school. 4B = flunking out of school.

the SPs responses. Explanation was given for the serial ordering and different were then presented with a set of scales (See Table 2) to be used in judging on one of eight possible tapes showing all requirements of the judgment task. SPs in each, so that all 64 situations were judged. instruction formats of the scales and the The judge viewed eight situations

# Videotape Judging Scales

Table 2 presents the instructions and response format for competence ratings in the Thought, Feelings and Overail Response categories. Additional scale items 3.agpn; derived from the literature on interpersonal competence which were included to measure (1) components of person and situation contributions the perspective from is probably best summarized by Heath (See Chapters 1 & 2 in Heath, 1977). The scales used for judging the stimulus person's responses to life (2) the judge's confidence in the ratings, (3) the persons, and (4) perceived similarity to the stimulus which the judgements were made. to judge's ratings, Situations were

# RESULTS FOR COMPETENCE ANALYSIS

data. Only those results which are most pertinent to the role of situational amount of empirical for this study yields a substantial factors in competence assessment will be presented here. The design used

# for Ethnicity of Stimulus Person and Interaction Effects for Stimulus Person X Life Situation

analysis of variance with repeated measures was computed for competence ratings Table 3 summarizes A 4 (Ethnicity of Stimulus Person) by 8 (Life Situations) factorial the Thoughts, Feelings, and Overall Response categories. the results for this analysis.

Table 2

INSTRUCTIONS: Use the scales below for judging the person's thoughts, feelings, and over-all response in the situation. For each of the items circle a number on the scale which best fits <u>your juditont</u> of the person in the situation. For example:

The person in the situation was:

	נניים	If very
Yery dull	~	ificte a 7. circle a n
-	~	A Ould
sting	~	ting, you
Neither interesting nor cull	•	or dell.
	•	eresting
•	4	's feelin lther int
Very Interesting	~	e person
£	Interesting	f you justed the person's feelings as very interesting, you would circle a 7. If very 'ull, circle a 1. If neither incressing nor dall, circle a 4. Or circle a number in class indicate decrease an incressing nor dall, circle a 4.

if you justed the person's feelings as wery interesting, you would circle a 7. If wery bull, circle a 1. If neither interesting nor dull, circle a 4. Or circle a number in wetween to indicate degrees of agreement. Please complete all the items.
ž į
. <sub>2</sub>
2 + 2 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 +
ؿؙٞػ
344
101
Control Control
200
7 5 .
es y sect
15.52 15.02 15.03
9. sette
£ 8
4 - 5 5 6
20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
755
524

•	•	
		~~~~
		<b></b>
		****
	z vere:	N N N N N N
•	TUAT 10	<b></b>
	2 347 8	~~~~
	The PERSON'S THOUGHTS IN THE SITUATION WERE:	Blased Organized Inconsistent Kealistic Not disrupted by feelings

Did not consider all sides of the situation	Meak Justfied Incomplete Obvious Negative Expressed with ease Feelings were
-	table to be
~	***************************************
<b>m</b>	
•	

The PESSON'S FEELINGS IN THE SITUATION WE'RE:

•	ease Feelings were	displayed over a narrow range
	-	
~~~~	~	~

Strong Unjustified Copies Not Obvious Positive Sarctice with Sarcticulty Feelings were Gissisped over a wide range

Not formed to be	effective Greative	Did not show they valued others	long run
	<b></b>	-	p==

The PERSONS OVERALL RESPONSE IN THE SITUATION WAS:

Immediately
effective
Kon-creative
Shamed ney valued
there
Not effective in
the long run

Showed they learned specthing useful for future situations

Did not show the learned some— thing useful , for future situations	Took a problem solving
-	

situations	solvíng
Took a problem	sparoach

Bad	Anticipated the	their response
		-

Did not anticipate the consequences of their response

Did not take a problem solving approach

3HT

Situation	Person X
eulumitae to	Ethnicity

***	****	basinsprosid	basinsovû	
****	**	Tiel	baseið	
ofunits to ytistadta noiteutis X noera9	Ethnicity of Stimulus Person	MOITAUTIZ 3HT NI 2THOUO	HT 2'NO2R39 3	

c side)

Summary of Analysis of Variance for Ethnicity of Stimulus Person

Summary of Analysis of Stimulus Person X Situation Table 3

displayed over a range	vercom renge glspleyed over a	****	'S'N
feelings were	Feel lags were		•
Expressed with difficulty	Expressed with	4444	****
Positive	Kegative	****	16
Hot obvious	suolvd0	•	•
ajaįduoj	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	***	•
DallistinU	balllaut	***	•
Strong	Kesk	****	. ***
HE PERSON'S FEELINGS IN	NOTANTIE SHE N		:
Considered all sides of the situation	Did not consider all sides of the situation	****	•
kot disrupted by feelings	Disrupted by feelings	****	•
Realistic	offet fastnU	•	.2.H
Instairent	Consistent	.s.n	•
Organized	Disorganized	****	****
baseta	Feir	**	****

Ethnicity of Stimulus Person X Situation	Ethnicity of Stimulus Person	MOITAUTIE 3HT NI 32NO72	IE PERSON'S OVERALL RE
'S'N	.2.N	Not immediately effective	Immediately effective
.2.N	****	Creative	Kon-creative
***	****	Did not show they walved others	Syowed they
•	***	liective in the long run	Mot effective in the long run
.2.M	***	Did not show they garned something serul	Showed they learned something useful for future situations
.s.w	***	Took a problem solving approach	Did not take a problem solving approach
•	****	bad	bood
.2.И	•	Did not show they anticipated the consequences of their response	Showed they consequences of the
	<del></del>	·	10, = ± 20, = ± (00, = ±

\*\*\*\* = .005 M.S. = Not Significant

analyses) at the .05 probability level or greater for all competence ratings Thoughts. There were significant main effects (4f = 3, 168 for all with the exception of the Inconsistent-Consistent scale.

9

and Life Situation at the .05 probability level or greater for all competence There were significant interactions between Ethnicity of Stimulus Person ratings with the exception of the Realistic-Unrealistic Scale.

Feelings. There were significant main effects at the .05 or greater probability level for all competence ratings.

greater for all competence ratings with the exception Feelings Displayed over There were significant interactions at the .05 probability level or Wide Range-Feelings not Displayed over Wide Range scale.

greater probability level for all items with the exception of Immediately Overall Response. There were significant main effects at the .05 or Effective-Not Immediately Effective scale.

Others, Effective in the Long Run-Not Effective in the Long Run, and Good-Bad probability level for Showed They Valued Others-Did Not Show They Valued There were significant interaction effects at the .05 or greater scales.

# Main Effects for Ethnicity of Judge, Life Situations and Interaction Effects for Ethnicity of Judge X Life Situation

variance was done for competence ratings in the Thoughts, Feelings and Overall A 4 (Ethnicity of Judge) by 8 (Life Situations) factorial analysis of Response categories for each stimulus person across life situations. summarizes the overall results for main effects and interactions.

# Main Effects for Ethnicity of Judge

analyses) at the .05 probability level or greater for Disrupted by Feelings-Not There were significant main effects (of - 3, 32 for all Thoughts.

oniqi 2x3 2 3	Female Exs Exs	SX3 S 3	Lemale Female Japane	NOITAUTIE 3HT HI 2TH	THE PERSON'S THOUG
.2.N .2.N .2.N	'S'N 'S'N ++	.s.n + .s.n	+ •••• 'S'H	ગથ	Blased
.2.W **** .2.W	.2. N.S. N.S.N	.s.n • .s.n	.2.N *** .2.N	Disorganized	Organized
.2.M .2.M .2.M	.2.M .2.M •	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N * .2.N	Sonsistent	Inconsistent
.2.N .2.H .2.N	.2.H .2.H .2.H	• .2.N .2.N	.c. n.s. n.s.	Unrealistic	Realistic
.S.N .S.N .S.N	.2.N ** .2.N	K.S. N.S. N.S.	.S.M .S.M .Z.W	Disrupted by Seclings	Mot disrupted by feelings
.2.N • .2.N	.2.H • .2.H	.s. H.S. H.S.	.S.N ◆ .S.N	Did not consider all sides of the situation	Considered all sides of the situation
				NOITAUTIZ BHT MI 25M	THE PERSON'S FEELT
.2. N.S. N.S.N	.S.N *** .S.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	Kesk	Strong
"S"N + "S"N	.s.n .s.n .s.n	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N .2.N +	Justified	bailidautnU
.2.N ** .2.N	'S'N 'S'N 'S'N	.z.n .z.n .z.n	.2.N ++ .2.N	Incomplete	SalqmoJ
.2.N +++ .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	snotvdo	Rot obvious
.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2. N.S. N.S.	.s. n.s. n.s.	.s. n.s. n.s.	Hegative	Positive
.2.W **** .2.W	.c.n + .c.n	.2.N *** .2.N	'S'N **** 'S'N	Expressed with	Expressed with difficulty
.2.N .2.N .2.N	.s.n .s.n .s.n	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N .2,N *	Feelings were displayed over a narrow range	Feelings were displayed over a wide range

Table 4 (Cuntinued)

Analysis of Variance for Significant Effects of Ethnicity of Judge, Situations and Situation by Ethnicity of Judge Interaction for Stimulus Persons

S EXS	W	EXS System Caucas		SE S EXS	Female Female EXS EXS	NOTTAUTIS 3HT NI 21	HE PERSON'S THOUGH
.2.N ****	.c.N	.z.n	* .2.N	.2. N.S. N.S.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	nis4	bassia
.2.N ****	.s.N	.s.#	* .2.N	.S. N.S. N.S.	'S'N ** 'S'N	Disorganized	Organized
.г.и .г.и	.s.N	.s. N .s.	N .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	Sonsistent	Juststent
.2.N ***	.S.N	.г.и	* .2.N	.c.h * .c.n	.2. N.S. N.S.N	Unrealistic	Realistic .
	•	**** ***		.8.H .8.H .8.N	.2.% *** .2.N	Disrupted by feelings	Mot disrupted feelings
	.2.N	.2.N +	* .2.H	.2. N.S. N.S.	'S'N *** 'S'N	Did not consider All lo sabis Ils Silson	ffs barabtanco sides of the situation
						NOTTAUTIE SHT ME SON	HE PERSON'S FEELIN
.2.N +	.c.n	* K.S.	* .2.N	.2. N.S. N.S.N	.2.N ** .2.N	Keok	guoise
.2.N +	к.s.	.2.N +++	• 'S'N	.2.H .2.H .2.H	.2.N • •	Sustified	Unjustitied
. 2. H ++++	.s.H	.s. N .s.	N.S.N	"S'N 'S'N 'S'N	.2.H .2.H .2.H	#19[qmoon]	sisiquoj
.2.N +	.s.N	.s. N.S.	N.S. N	'S'N 'S'N 'S'N	.2. + .2.N	SnotvdO	Rot obvious
.2.H •••	. 2. И	.s.n .s.	H .S.M	.5. *** K.S.	'S'N + 'S'N	Hegat ive	Positive
.2.H •	.c.n	.2.N,	• .2.ม	.2.H • .2.H	.2.H ** ,2.H	Expressed with sees	Expressed with difficulty
. S.N +	.8.N	*** *	.'S'H	.2.H .2.H .2.H	K.S. K.S. R.S.	Feelings were displayed over a natrow tange	Feelings were displayed over a wide range

		of Judge Ethnicity of Judge	s = Situation S = Ethnicity X noiseusis = 2X3	Ĵns:	20, = # 10, = ++ 200, = +++ 100, = ++++ 20, = 20, = 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20,
.S.M .S.N .Z.N	.2.N .2.N +	K.S. N.S. N.S.	.2.N .2.N .2.N	Did not show they shiftipated the consequences of their response	Showed they consequences of their response
.s.w ** .s.w	'S'N ** 'S'N	.s.n .s.n .s.n	'S'N + 'S'N	peg	po <b>o9</b>
.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N * .2.N	.s.w .s.w .s.w	.2.N ** .2.N	Took a problem solving approach	Did not take a problem solving approach
'S'N 'S'N 'S'N	.2.M .2.W **	'S'N + 'S'N	H.S. N.S. N.S.	Did not show they learned something useful	Showed they some- learned some- fur su tuture for future snottenste
K.S. N.S. K.S.	.2.M *** .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N * .2.N	Effective in the Jong run	Mot effective nur gnof ads mi
.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.M **** .2.M	.2.N **** .2.N	.S.M **** .S.M	Did not show they welvers	Syowed they values
'S'N **** 'S'N	.2.N .2.N •	.2.N .2.N .2.N	* * N.S.	9v116913	Non-creative
.2.N ** .2.N	**** **** *	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2. N.S. N •	Not immediately effective	lamed is tely effective
SX3 S 3	E 2 EX2	Male Exs	E 2 EX2	T RESPONSE IN THE	THE PERSON'S OVERAL

Table 4 (Continued)

Analysis of Variance for Significant Effects of Ethnicity of Judge, Situations and Situation by Ethnicity of Judge Interaction for Stimulus Persons

		es angle	5 = Stenation EXS = Stevation X Ethnicity of Judge nnt		
.г.и .г.и .г.и	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.2.N ** .2.N .2.N	* .2.N	Value of the show the state of the sea of the sea of the sea of the state of the st	Showed they anticipated the consequences of their response
.S.M .S.M .S.N	.s.n .s.n .s.n	.s.n .s.h .s.n .s.n	*** 'S'N	peg	p009
.2.N ** .2.N	.2.M .2.W .2.N	.S. N.S. N.S. N.S.	.2.H .2.H	Took a problem solving approach	sporosch problem solving sporosch
N.S. N.S. N.S.	.S.M .S.M .S.M	'S'N # 'S'N 'S'N	.2.N .2.N	wode ton bid they learned gnidismos fulseu	Yndwed thry Searned some- throu useful for future foutions
.2.N .2.N .2.N	.S. H.S. H.S.	.S.N .S.N .S.N .S.N	* 'S'N	Effective in the long run	<b>Mot e</b> ffective num gnof sh <b>r</b> nn
.2.N *** .2.N	* **** .2.N	.2.N **** .2.N .2.N	* .2.N	Did not show they valued others	Showed they
"2" # "2"N	.s.n .s.n .s.n	.2.N * .2.N 2.N	.2.N .2.N	eviteero	Non-creative
.2.N * .2.N	.2.N .2.N .2.N	.s.n + .s.n .s.n	.c. n .c. n	Mot immediately effective	Immediately effective
oniq 2X3 2 3	Female Female E 5 EX5	Aspanese S EXS	E 2 Lemaje	L RESPONSE IN THE	THE PERSON'S OVERAL

Disrupted by Feelings, for the Caucasian Female and the Caucasian Male; for Anticipated Consequences of Response-Did Not Anticipate Consequences of Response for the Caucasian Female; and for Fair-Diased and Consistent-Inconsistent for the Filipina Female.

<u>Feelings</u>. There were significant main effects at the .05 probability level for Justified-Unjustified for the Chinese Male and the Japanese Female and for Displayed Over Wide Range-Not Displayed Over Wide Range for the Japanese Female.

Overall Response. There were significant main effects at the .05 probability level or greater for both Creative-Non Creative, and Immediately Effective-Not Immediately Effective for the Chinese Female and the Caucasian Female and for Showed Useful Learning for Future-Did Not Show Useful Learning for Future for the Caucasian Female.

# Main Effects for Life Situations

<u>Thoughts</u>. There were significant main effects for situation at the .05 or greater probability level for fair-Biased for Caucasian male and female and Japanese male and female; for Conganized-Disorganized for the Chinese female, the Caucasian male and female, and the Filipino male; for Inconsistent-Consistent for the Japanese female; for Realistic-Unrealistic for the Chinese female, and Caucasian male and female, male and female, and the Filipino female; and Considered All Sides-Did not Consider All Sides for the Chinese male and female, Caucasian male and female and the Filipino male and female.

<u>feelings</u>. There were significant main effects for situation at the .05 or greater probability level for Strong-Wesk for the Chinese female, Caucasian

male and female, and the Filipino female; for Justified-Unjustified for the Chinese female, the Caucasian male and female, and the Filipino male; for Complete-Incomplete for the Caucasian male, the Chinese female, the Caucasian male, and the Filipino male; for Positive-Negative for the Chinese male and female, and the Caucasian male; for Expressed with Difficulty-Expressed with Ease for all stimulus persons and for Feelings Displayed over Wide Mange-Not Displayed Over Wide Range-Not Displayed Over Wide Range

### erall Response

There were significant main effects for situation at the .05 probability level or greater for immediately Effective-Not immediately Effective for the Caucasian male and female, the Japanese male, and the Filipino male; for Creative-Non Creative for the Chinese female, the Caucasian male, the Japanese male, and the Filipino male; for Showed They Valued Others-Did Not Show They Valued Others for the Chinese male and female, the Caucasian female, the Japanese male and female, and the Filipino male and female; for Effective in the Long Run-Not Effective in the Long Run for the Chinese female, the Caucasian female, and the Japanese female; for Show Useful Learning for Future for the Chinese male and the Japanese female; for Took a Problem Solving Approach-Did not Take a Problem Solving Approach for Took a Problem Solving Approach-Did not Take a Problem Solving Approach for the Chinese female, the Caucasian male and female, and the Japanese female; and for Anticipated the Consequences of Their Response-Did Not Anticipate the Consequences of Their Response-Did Not Anticipate the Consequences of Their Response male and female.

### DISCUSSION

Main effects due to ethnicity of judge and interaction effects for ethnicity of judge and situation both occurred at approximately chance levels

Situational effects were related to the amount of variation in judgments acrosses the Thoughts, Feelings and Overall Response categories for each stimulus persons and Overall Response categories for each stimulus persons whithin an ethnic group. The Caucasian stimulus persons were the most variable with close to 70% of their judged responses showing variation due to situation for both the male and female. The Chinese and the Japanese stimulus persons were less variable with approximately 40% of competence judgements related to situations and the female of each group more variable in competence ratings. The Filipino stimulus persons were perceived as only slightly less variable (approximately 35% of competence judgments) than the Japanese or Chinese with the male showing more variability than the female. These results give clear indication that the stimulus persons were perceived as responsing differently to different life situations as judged by the competence criteria used in this study.

The results for mean difference patterns indicates that there is an idiosyncratic character to the situational influence on competence judgments for different stimulus persons. This makes systematic comparisons between stimulus persons difficult, if the perceived response competence to the life situations used in this study is as variable as the results suggest. This butcome also highlights the significant variation in competence judgments by situations, reflecting the dynamic pattern of interaction that occurs between persons and life situations. If the life situations used in this study are

taken to be a meaningful sample of situations in the social ecology of stimulus persons who share a similar social environment, then the results provide additional evidence for the examination of patterns of stability and change across situations, which has been indicated as a primary goal of person X situation research strategies (e.g., Pervin, 1977).

7

There were also differences in the consistency with which certain competence criteria varied due to situation effects. In the Thoughts category the items Organized-Disorganized and Considered All Sides-Did Not Consider All Sides varied due to situational effects for 6 of 8 stimulus persons. The competence criteria of Biased-Fair and Disrupted by Feelings-Not Disrupted by Feelings were related to situational effects for half the stimulus persons.

In the Feelings category the criterion of Expressed With Difficulty-Expressed With Ease was consistently variable for situations for all stimulus persons. The criteria of Strong-Weak and Justified-Unjustified also showed considerable variation due to situation in half of the stimulus persons.

In the Overall Response category the competence criteria with the must variation due to situational effects was the Showed They Valued Others-Did Not Show They Valued Others-Did And Show They Valued Others which varied for 7 of 8 stimulus persons (excepting the Chinese male). Immediately Effective-Not Immediately Effective, Creative-Non Creative, and Good-Bad all varied due to situation for half of the stimulus persons. These competence items in the Thoughts, Feelings, and Overall Response categories may comprise a cluster of discriminative items which are more sensitive to situation effects.

Since prior studies using person X situation research strategies have not included athnocultural factors, the results for ethnicity of stimulus persons provides data for a seriously neglected aspect of this type of research. In the Thoughts category the order of competence judgments was typically for the

It is important to note that these differences in judged competence refer to the order of judgments and not to degree of differences between the sthmicity of stimulus persons. The Japanese, Filipino and Caucasian stimulus persons tended to form one cluster with small mean differences between their judged competence of responses. The Chinese stimulus persons were different from these three groups in the mean competence judgments indicating that they were perceived as less competent than the other three ethnic groups. The range of differences in judgments occurs primarily within the more competent range of the competence-incompetence continuum, which is not unexpected considering that the stimulus persons were all selected from a normally functioning appulation.

The large number of significant interactions between ethnicity of stimulus persons and situations is consistent with the situational variation in competence judgments. The pattern of mean differences for these interactions is very complicated and beyond the scope of the present discussion. It is difficult to find a systematic pattern of mean differences for ethnic group by situation interactions that is interpretable by reference to the presumed life

style or common socialization patterns of the groups in this study. This suggests that there may be an idiosyncratic character to the competence criteria with which ethnically different stimulus persons are judged by ethnically similar and dissimilar observers of their responses. The type of life situations that were used as stimuli in this study may be similar in elicitation potential and therefore non-discriminating in terms of cross-ethnic differences. An important question arises regarding the type of life situations which would vary sufficiently in elicitation potential to produce different responses based on ethnic group membership.

The pattern of mean differences indicated that the Chinese stimulus persons were perceived as differentially competent by contrast with the Japanese. Filipino and Caucasian stimulus persons. This leads to a question regarding the amount of variation that is validly attributable to ethnic group merbership. The more conservative conclusion would be that the perceived variation in response competence is due to individual differences in selection within an ethnic group rather than a systematic difference between Chinese stimulus persons and other stimulus persons. Nowever, if ethnic group membership is not related to response competence judgments, whereas individual differences in stimulus persons in human relations, race relations, and inter-ethnically oriented mental health training programs. One must also question the standard format and content of training programs which do not relate values, attitudes and behaviors to variation in response to life situations, which would appear to have considerable face validity for differences in their alicitation

The non-significant results for ethnicity of judge are interesting in light of the presumed differences in the perception of the appropriate

the competence of ethnically similar and dissimilar person's responses to life indicate that the stimulus persons were seen as variable across situations and differences in the criteria used by ethnically different judges for assessing life situations confronting the person may show a more direct relationship to situations. While the responses of ethnically different stimulus persons may vary considerably based on life situation, judges of their responses may use overall responses, irrespective of the ethnic group membership of judge or a thoughts, feelings and overall response that different ethnic groups express stimulus person. Mowever, the type of situations and the difficulty of the when faced with stressful life situations. Results presented above clearly responding differently in different situations. That the ethnicity of the criteria used were not specific enough to produce discriminative judgments competence criteria, thus the not unreasonable conclusions that they were judge was not related to these judgments may indicate that the competence essentially the same criteria for assessing their thoughts, feelings, and based on the ethnicity of judges. It may also be that there are no real variation in competence judgments.

The situations in the present study were selected from the more stressful end of a spectrum of possible life situations. Under these situational conditions a common core of competence criteria may be used in assessing responses. Different competence criteria may be used for judging responses to other life situations which would reflect differences in the ethnic group membership of the observers. Consequently, in stressful life situations ethnic differences in response competence may be minimal, while they may be much more pronounced in less stressful life situations.

This study used a small sample of the possible life situations in which people may interact. However, it would not require a larger sample of

situations to demonstrate that competence judgments differ depending upon the situation in which a person is observed. In light of the evidence that has been provided for the importance of situations in assessing competence, it appears that those models which suggest the need to consider situational factors in judging competence have gained some empirical support.

Guthrie (1975) and Heath (1977) both recognized that the Competent individual would be required to adjust behavior according to the situational demands, and their views have been supported by this study. Conversely, those models which suggest that competence involves a cluster of cross-situationally constant traits, attributes, or characteristics are placed in question by the results. If the stimulus persons in this study were imbued with specific traits which were relatively stable across situations, then the situational variability should not have been such a significant factor so often.

The central issue is not whether there is a set of traits which can be subsumed under the category of competence; one would certainly not argue that situations are so variable that there is no hope of determining a corron set of criteria for judging competence. Rather, the point is that there is a need to systematically identify those situations which elicit differences in the effectiveness with which individuals from different ethnic backgrounds respond. The present study suggests that there are behavioral criteria which can be operationally described and objectively assessed with respect to varying degrees of competence in different life situations. The main goal now is to find the critical releasor situations in which ethnic differences are expressed and the adaptive effectiveness of persons from different ethnic backgrounds can be assessed. As Edward Hall (1977) states:

An almost overwhelming task lies ahead to classify situational frames and the way in which they build up into larger wholes. Han

has had wery little experience with this sort of analysis and I for one have no notion where it will lead us (p. 140).

Mapefully, one direction that such an analysis may lead is to a better understanding of how persons of different ethnic backgrounds can interact effectively with different life situations and with one another.

# RESULTS OF DISCREPANCY ANALYSIS

Discrepancy scores for both the S-R Inventory and the DES were calculated by subtracting the self-rating of the SP from the judge's rating of that person in the situation. An Ethnicity of Judge X Situation ANOVA was performed on each item of the S-R inventory and on the factor scores of the DES for each stimulus person. There were few significant effects for Ethnicity of Judge C Situation. There were a number of significant effects as a function of the situation. There were a number of significant effects as a function of the situation for the caucasian rale stimulus person elicited significant effects for situation for the vast majority of analyses performed, while the Chinese male stimulus person elicited situation effects more than Chinese or Japanese stimulus persons.

### DISCUSSION

The findings are consistent with the previous analysis of competence items in that only the situational factors attain statistical significance. The measures used assessed the accuracy of the judges in rating the subjective

in their typical response pattern. The net effect is to cause more variability in the accuracy of ratings of the Caucasians. An alternative explanation would the Chinese, but both groups were judged as being consistent across situations less capable of judging the Caucasian stimulus persons consistently across all parallel with findings from the competence analysis holds, with the Caucasian grounds. To the extent that there is a significant effect for situation, the stimulus persons being the most variable across situations and Japanese and Caucasian stimulus persons displayed a wide range of feelings as opposed to membership and as a function of the situation to which that other person is situations. The major implication is that the ability to accurately assess experience of the stimulus persons from similar and dissimilar ethnic backttimulus person across the situations in which that person is viewed. The be that all stimulus persons varied across situations, but the judges were the subjective experiences of another varies as a function of their ethnic interpretation of these findings is that the ethnicity of stimulus persons judges are variable in the accuracy of rating the subjective state of the relates to varying degrees of consistency across situations. Thus, the Chinese stimulus persons showing low variability across situations.

The absence of a significant effect for ethnicity of judge also runs counter to the assumption that a person of a particular ethnic group is more sensitive to mambers of that group than to members of a different ethnic group. Moreover, there were no specific situations in which this sensitivity was more or less likely to occur since there were no systematic, significant effects for interactions between ethnicity of judge and situations. It would therefore seem that ethnicity per se does not qualify a person to judge more accurately the subjective experience of others of the same ethnicity.

얾

the same of the same

# JAPANESE-CAUCASIAN SUB-STUDY

Japanese and Caucasian ethnic groups were selected for a sub-study focusing on accessible sample and a greater likelihood of interpersonal familiarity due to affects which attenuated differences in judgments. In addition to the effect Judges increased degrees of freedom for ethnicity of judge effects. This may different ethnic groups. Distinctions between ethnic groups may have become only two ethnicities. There is a fairly extensive background on differences of four ethnic groups of stimulus persons, the use of four ethnic groups of differences in judgments as a function of the ethnicity of the judge, since each judge was required to view eight different stimulus persons from four The range of ethnic groups used in the above study may have distorted increase in the variance for that factor. To overcome these difficulties, between the interaction styles of these two groups (e.g., Barnlund, 1975). have reduced the mean square term for that factor without a corresponding confused and the length of the judging session may have produced fatigue There are also large numbers of both groups in Hawaii. This provides an longstanding interactions between members of these two ethnic groups.

There was also an interest in studying a special sample of persons who have experienced the socializing influences of both Caucasian and Japanese parents from infancy. Such a sample is available in the form of persons who are offspring of mixed marriages of Japanese and Caucasians, called "Hapa-Maole" in Hawail. It was hypothesized that these persons would be more sensitive to both Caucasian and Japanese others. They have had early exposure to both ethnicities and would presumably be more familiar with interaction styles and emotional expression in both groups. Therefore, in addition to asking Caucasian and Japanese Individuals to judge Japanese and Caucasian

stimulus persons, a third group of mixed ethnic or "Mapa-Maole" judges was included in this study.

### KETHODS

### Subjects

Ss were 48 undergraduate students 18-24 years of age and local residents of Hawaii. They were recruited for participation in the study through class announcements, posters and word-of-mouth communications. They were informed that they were to serve as Ss in judging videotapes that would take about a half an hour of their time. The 48 Ss were selected from three groups (Japanese, n = 16; Caucasian, n = 16; Mixed, n = 16). There were eight rales and eight females in each group. All Ss were paid for their participation.

# Study Setting, Equipment, Videotages Stimulus Situations, and Procedures

The setting, equipment, and procedures were the same as described in the above Methods sections. The videotape stimulus situations were also the same as used previously except that the tapes used included only stimulus persons of Japanese or Caucasian ethnicity. The Ss viewed one of four possible tapes randomly ordered to show the stimulus persons in all situations. Each Ss judged a tape showing at least one of the situation content categories and all possible sex-ethnic group combinations (Japanese male, Caucasian male, Japanese female, Caucasian female).

## Videotape Judging Scales

The scales used for judging the stimulus person's subjective reactions and their responses to life situations were identical to those described above.

CALLEGE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF TH

# RESULTS FOR JAPANESE-CAUCASIAN SUB-STUDY

### Ofscrepancy Analysis

Discrepancy scores were calculated for S-R inventory items and for DES factors by subtracting judges' ratings of stimulus persons (SP) from the self-ratings of the stimulus persons. ANDYA was performed on the discrepancy scores for Sex of SP x Ethnicity of SP x Situation. Results are summarized in Table 5. For the S-R inventory, the main effect for Situation was significant at the .05 level or higher for all items except Enjoy the Challenge, Get Full Feeling in Stomach, and Seek experiences like this. The main effect for the Ethnicity of SP was significant for the items: Heart Beats Faster, Get an Uneasy Feeling, Feel Exhilarated, Enjoy the Challenge, Pouth Gets Dry, Seek Experiences Like This, and Experience Nausea. In addition, there were significant Situation x Ethnicity of SP interactions for all the S-R inventory items except Keed to Urinate, Get Full Feeling in Stomach, Have Loose Bowels, and Find the Situation Difficult to Handle.

For the DES scale, the main effect of Ethnicity of SP was significant for the factors Anger and Surprise. The main effect of Situation was significant for the factors: Enjoyment, Fatique, Anger, Surprise, and Distress. There were also Situation x Ethnicity of SP interaction effects for the factors Fatique, Anger, and Surprise.

Additional ANOVA was performed on discrepancy scores for Sex of Judge x Ethnicity of Judge x Situation. Results are summarized in Table 5. For the S-A Inventory items and the DES factors, there were very few significant main effects for Ethnicity of Judge or for the interaction of that factor with . Situations. The main effect for Situations was significant for all S-A Inventory items except Enjoy the Challenge, Get a Full Feeling in Stomach

Burmary of Analysis of Variance of Discrepancy Scores
For Japanese-Caucasian Sub-Study
Micity of
Situation X Ethnicity Situ

400.44 0000 poor.3	. D . M	• •	****	-B-N	•••	'5'N
alewed secol evals	.2.N	.e.M	. ••••	'8'N	'S'N	'S'N
Stalt experiences like this	<b>.</b> e.N	••••	**·N	.a.N		's'N
rbemote rd guillest list \$50	•••	'E'N	'S'N	'S'N	.a.n ,	' 'S'N
Become transpillized	że.n	.e.N	••••	's'N	•	's'N
Worth gets dry	'S'N	•••	****	's'N	••	. 's'N
Enjoy the challenge	.e.N	••••	'S'N	•	••••	's'N
Agreed to refrese successfully	'S'N	'S'N	•	's'N	, 's'N	's'N
Perspire	· 's'N	.e.N	****	's'N	••••	•
rolleutie blove of fretV	's'N	.e.N	••••	'S'N	•	N.S.
Peel sublined based and thrulled	<b>'</b> \$'N	••••		'S'N	••••	's'n ·
Emetions disrupt action	.e.u	.e.u	••••	's'N ·	••••	'S'N
God an unessay feelbrg	'S'N	••••	••••	's'N	••	's'N
Heart beats feater	•••	• •	••••	'S'N	****	's'N
sserenotury to Violente Fi	Ethicity of Judge	Ethnius Person	Simetion	Situation X Ethnicity	Situation X Ethnicity of Stimulus Person	Ethnicity of Stimulus Person

and Mave Loose Bowels. The main effect for Situations was significant for all DES factors except for Enjoyment and Distress.

A final Autova was performed for the interaction of Ethnicity of Judge x Ethnicity of Stimulus Person. Results are summarized in Tabla 5. The interaction effect was significant only for the S-R Inventory item, Perspires, and for the DES factor, Fatigue.

Examination of mean discrepancy scores indicates that the effect of situations is caused by high situational variance across all situations. There is no single situation which consistently elicits either high or low discrepancy scores across all S-R inventory items of DES factors. Similarly, examination of mean discrepancy scores for the effect of ethnicity of SP does not show a consistent pattern. Japanese SPs received higher discrepancy scores for the DES factor Angry. Caucasian SPs received higher discrepancy and the DES factor Angry. Caucasian SPs received higher discrepancy scores for the S-R inventory items Feel Exhilarated, Enjoy the Challenge, and Seek Expariences Like This, and for the LES factor Amazed. Examination of the means for significant interaction effects between Ethnicity of Judge and Ethnicity of Stimulus Person show that for both the GES factor, Fatigue, and the S-R inventory item, Perspires, Hapa-Haole judges were more inaccurate for Japanese SPs than for Caucasian SPs, while the reverse was true for both Caucasian and Japanese Judges.

### mperence

ANOVA was performed on the judges ratings of the stimulus persons' competence for effects of Sex of SP x Ethnicity of SP x Situation. Results are summarized in Table 6. There were significant effects for the factor of

Ethnicity of Auden Person	Situation X Edwicky	Allakara A Marana	Rituetton	במיותווא	0 7rq00	Principal Seal Series Seels Pectors
.e.N	.e.w	.s.N	•	.e.N	'8'N	Enjahunare
'S'N	.2.11	.a.M	.8.N	.e.W	.a.N	-ma
••	•	.a.N	•	.e.N	.a.N	andprod.
'S'N		.*8*N	****	••	.s.N	actory
'S'N	.a.N	.s.N	,E,N	.a.ví	.B.N	hrond
*S*N	******	.z.N	****		.8.N	Superior
'8'N	'8'N	'S'N	****	.a.w	.s.N	. Diamen
'8'N	,a.w	'S'N	'8'N	,2.N	'S'N	SAMES
	:					20. 24 m .2. W .2.

\*\*

#### Summery of Analysis of Variance of Competence Across For Jepanese-Caucastan Sub-Etudy

						ionde bjukeq oner w uwirom	usude bjokeg aneu y mige
y .e.n	.a.n	'9'N ·	.z.N	<b>.</b> e.и	<b>.</b> 2.N	alb enew egullou	-alb enew agnites?
's'N	•••	's'N	••	•	<b>.</b> 8.N	Expressed with	qnycnjið Exbesseq migj
'8'N	••••	.8.N	.8.N	. ****	.2.N	evilageM	Positive
's'N	••	's'N	.e.N	<b>,</b> e,и	,2,N	Obvious	Buolvde \$0M
'S'N	's'N	's'N	.2.N	's'N	'S'N	eselgmoon	Complete
. 's'N	's'N	'S'N	'S' N	's'N	'S'N	benited	belt/sau[n]
.a.N	'\$'N	'S'N	****	's'N	'S'N	Weak	(No.13
						NOITAUTIS BHT M 2	APP BERGON, 2 EEEE ING
'S'N	'8'N	.s.n	's'N	<b>'s'</b> N '	's'N	lia nablanos ton biQ noitmutta ent to acbta	e: the elbetler Careldered all aldea
*s*N -	••••	,e,и	. •	••	.8.N	Discussed by Spoilings	Nis disrupted ognities (d '
.e.N	"B"N ,	's'N	'S'N	,a.N	'S'N	elalisand	olfe if and
's'N	'S'N	'S'N	'S'N	•	'8'N	Senalatent	<b>Incorporations</b>
'S'N	•••	'B'N	•	••••	'S'N	Destruction	bestrage.
' <b>s</b> 'N	<b>'8'</b> N	.a.N	'8'N	•	.e.N	-104	Deca1()
. X egbut to Violity 3 Server Eulumist to Violity 3	Situation X Edwicky	-Irretion X Ethni-	Elimetica	Ethnius Person	Edvidency of Judge	HOLLYNTIS THE WE	DIUNUITE WAT

#### TABLE 6 (continued)

#### For Japanese -Caucastan Sub-Study Summary of Analysis of Varience of Competence Across Life Situations

Edmicity of Stimulus Per-	Situation X Ethnicity of Stimulus Person	Situation X Ethyl-	Sttuntion	Ethnicity of	Edvicty	RESPONSE IN THE	VOLTALITA
•	's'N	's'N	••••	's'N	<b>'</b> \$'N	Not invnediately eliective	immediately effective
. 'S'N	<b>.</b> e.N	,e.N	••	'5'N	'S'N	Creetive	Mar-ereal/ve
<b>'s'</b> N	's'n.	's'N	••••	••••	<b>.</b> 2.N	Did not whow they walvers	Stowed they valued
·s•N	: <b>'s</b> 'N	's'N	's'N	's'N	'5'N	Ettective in the long	Mot effective in the graf
's'N	's'N	<b>'</b> s'n	••••	·.	<b>'</b> \$'N	Did not show they learned something secul for future situetiers	function of the
. <b>*s*N</b>	<b>'s</b> 'N	).e.u	's'N	<b>,</b> e.и	.e.N	Took a problem solv- riseonede en	-dong a silet ton bid ripacrique enivice mel
'S'N	.e.u	<b>'</b> 5'N	.e.u	••	,e,N	\$*Q	P000
'8'N	<b>'8'</b> N	'6'N	<b>'</b> 5'N	•••	<b>.</b> e.n	Old not sinow they write to conse- dusticipated the conse- quences of their	Showed they anticl- saled the consequen- ces of their response

The state of the s

3

As additional ANOVA was performed for the effects of Sex of Judge x Ethaicity of Judge x Situation. Results are presented in Table 6. There were me significant effects for the Ethaicity of the Judge and no significant interaction effects for Ethaicity of Judge x Situation. A third ANOVA was performed for effects due to the interaction between SP and Judge. The only significant interaction found between Ethaicity of SP and Ethaicity of Judge was for the item Exmediately Effective.

Examination of means across situations for competence items for which situation was a significant effect shows no consistent pattern. The situation which elicits the highest or lowest rating varies, depending upon the item. For most items, there is a generally high variance across situations which is not related to any particular situation eliciting high or low ratings. An examination of the means for Ethnicity of SP effects show that Japanese SPs were rated more Biased, Organized, Consistent, Realistic, Positive, Learned Something Useful, Good, and Showing more Value for Others than Caucasian SPs, but is less Disrupted by Feelings, Did not Consider all Sides,

and Expresses Feelings with Difficulty than Caucasian SPs. Examination of interaction means for significant effects of Ethnicity of Judga x Ethnicity of SP indicated that the major difference was for the item Immediately Effective. Japanese judges rated the Japanese SPs response as more Immediately Effective than the Caucasian SP, while the reverse was true for both Hapa-Maole and Caucasian judges.

### DISCUSSION

The use of a more limited selection of ethnic group stimulus persons and ethnic judges yields essentially similar results as those reported in previous sections. Differences were found for the discrepancy measures and competence ratings across ethnicity of stimulus persons and across situations, but there were no significant effects for the ethnicity of the judges.

The situational effect followed a similar pattern with no clear-cut conclusions to be drawn regarding the relative competence of different stimulus persons within specific situations. This would be expected within a normal range of competence where individuals would be expected to vary their response to meet the demands of the situation and would thus be evaluated differently by those called upon to judge those responses.

Across all judges, Japanese stimulus persons were rated as being more competent in that they were seen as more organized, consistent, realistic, good, positive, showing value for others, learning something useful, free from the disruptive influence of feelings upon thoughts, at ease in expressing their feelings, in anticipating the consequences of their actions, and considerate of all sides. In the earlier study described above, the Japanese stimulus persons were judged approximately equal in response competence to

Although only two ethnic groups of stimulus persons were used, no differences were found for the three different categories of judges. The replication of this finding lends further strength to the earlier interpretation that judges of different ethnic backgrounds use similar criteria in evaluating response competence. Moreover, Hapa-Haole judges did not differ from either Japanese or Caucasian judges on most judgments of response competence or the subjective experience of stimulus persons. This indicates that the socialization experiences of persons raised in mixed-ethnic social environments do not differentially affect these types of judgments.

### REFERENCES

A Comment of the Comm

4

- Barnlund, B. Public and private self in Japan and the United States. Tokyo: The Service Press, 1975.
- Dinges, N. & Duffy, L. Culture and Competence. In: Marsella, T., Ciborowski, T. & Tharp R. (eds.) Current Perspectives on Cross-Cultural Psychology. New York: Academic Press, 1979, pp. 209-232.
- Endler, N.S. & Magnusson, D. Interactional psychology and personality. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1976.
- French, J.R.P., Rodgers, W., & Cobb, S. Adjustment as person-environment fit. In G.V. Coelho, D.A. Hamburg, & J.E. Adams (eds.) Coping and Adaptation. New York: Basic Books, 1974, pp. 316-333.
- Goldfried, M.R. & D'Zurilla, T.J. A behavioral-analytic model for assessing competence. In C. Spielberger (ed.) Current topics in clinical and community psychology. New York: Academic Press, 1969, 1, pp. 131-196.
- Guthrie, G.M. A behavioral analysis of culture learning. In: Richard W. Brislin (ed.) Cross-cultural <u>Perspectives On Learning</u>. New Yorl Halsted Press, 1975. pp. 95-116.
- Hall, E.T. Beyond culture. New York: Anchor Books, 1977.
- Heath, D. Maturity and Competence: A Transcultural View. New York: Gardner Press, 1977.
- Lazarus, R.S., Averill, J.R. & Opton, E.M. The psychology of copying: Issues of research and assessment. In G.V. Coelho, D.A. Hamburg, & J.E. Adams (eds.) <u>Coping and adaptation</u>.
- Magnusson, D. & Endler, N.S. Personality at the Cross-roads: Current issues in interactional psychology. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1977.
- Pervin, L.A. The representative design of person-situation research. In: David Kagnusson and Norman S. Endler (eds.) <u>Personality at the Crossroads</u>. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1977, pp. 371-364.
- Smith, M.B. Competence and socialization. In: John A. Clausen (ed.) Socialization and Society. Bonton: Little, Brown and Company, 1968, pp. 270-320.
- Sundberg, M., Snowden, L. & Reynolds, W. Toward assessment of personal competence and incompetence in life situations. Annual Review of Psychology, 1978, 29, pp. 179-221.

### MANDATORY

Naval Acsestch Laboratory (6 copiss) Washington, D. C. 20375 Commanding Officer Coda 2627 Office of Naval Research (3 copies) Arlington, Virginia 22217 (Code 452) NO N. Quincy St.

Defense Cocumentation Center Accessions Division 112 copies)

Science and Technology Division

Library of Congress Washington, D.C. 2054Q

Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Cameron Station

LIST 2

### CT214 EX

Boscon, Massachusette 02210 Psychologist Old Branch Office Bidg. 114, Section D 665 Summer St.

Pasadena, California 91106

Paychologist One Branch Office 1030 E. Green St.

Paychologiae Old Branch Office 536 5. Clark St. Chicago, Illinois 60605

LIST 3

### ARPA

Frogram Management AAPA, Room 313 1400 Hilson Blvd. Arlington, Virginia 22309 Director (3 copies)

Cybernetica Technology Office AAPA, Room 625 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, Virginia 22209 Director

## PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

Dr. Earl A. Alluist
Performance Assessment Laboratory
Old Dominion University

Howard University Washington, D. C. 20001 Norfolk, Virginia 23508 Department of Psychology Dr. James A. Bayton

West Virginia University Korgantown, West Virginia 26506 Department of Sociology Dr. H. Russell Bernard and Anthropology

Naval Training Equipment Center Orlando, Florida 32813 Ruman Tactors Laboratory Dr. Arthur Blatwes Code N-/1

College of Industrial Management Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia - 30332 Dr. Milton R. Blood

University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas 66044 Paychology Department Dr. William Bowerman

Institute for Social Research P.O. Box 1248 University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 Dr. David G. Bowers

The Johns Hopkine University School of Medicine Division of Behavioral Biology Baltimore, Maryland 21205 Dr. Joseph V. Brady

Visiting Associate Professor 325-C Milton Bannion Hall University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 C. Brooklyn Derr

Dr. Norman G. Dinges
The Institute of Echavioral Sciences
250 Ward Avenue - Suite 226 Honolulu, Hawait 96814 Dr. Carson K. Evyang Naval Tostgraduate School Department of Administrative Sciences Montercy, Galifornia 93540

Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213 Graduate School of Industrial Dr. Paul S. Goodman Administration

School of Organization and Management 56 Hillmouse Avenue Yale University New Haven, Connecticut 06520 Dr. J. Richard Backman

The Urban Institute for Numan Services, Inc. P.O. Eox 15058.
San Francisco, Californie, 94115 Dr. Asa G. Hilliard, Jr.

Me. Kirsten Hinsdale Vice-President, Research & Development Validated Instruction Associates, Inc. Albion, Michigan 49224 P.O. Box 356

State Univariity of New York at Buffalo 4230 Ridge Lee Rd. Buffalo, New York 14226 Department of Psychology Dr. Edwin Hollander

University City Science Contor Contor for Social Development 3624 Science Center Philadelphis, Pennsylvania 19104 Dr. Charles L. Hulin Department of Psychology University of Illinois Champaign, Illinois 61820 Dr. Faris Kirkland

The state of the s

\*\*\*\*

Public Administration Department Maxwell School Syracuse, New York 13210 Syracuse Caiverate's Rudi Klauss

Inc. Washington Office Richard A. Gibboney Associates, 10:05 Concord St. - Suite 203 A Kensington, Maryland 20795 Vice President and Director Dr. Arthur L. Korotkin

Dr. Edvard E. Lowler
Zattelle Numan Affairs Reseatch Centers
4000 N.E., 41st St. Searele, Washington 93105

Durham, North Caolina 27706 Dr. Arie Y. Levin Duke University Duke Station

Greensboro, North Carolina 27402 Center for Creative Leadership Dr. Morgan W. McCall, Jr. 2.C. 30x 2-1

School of Business Administration University of Washington Searcie, Washington 98195 Dr. Terence R. Mitchell

Dr. William H. Mobley

College of Business Administration University of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina 29208 State University of New York at Dr. Jonn M. Neale Stony Brook

Human Sciences Research, Inc. 7710 Old Springhouse 2d. McLess, Virginia 22101 Dr. Peter G. Nordlie

Scony Brook, New York 11794

Department of Psychology

Behavior Design, Inc. 11212 N. May Ave. - Suite III Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73120 Dr. Robert D. O'Connor

Santa Cruz, California 95060 Systems and Evaluations 232 Suanton Blvd. Dr. Manuel Ramirex

Department of Psychology University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195 Dr. Irvin Sarason

Institute of Behavioral Research Texas Christian University Fort Worth, Texas 76129 Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko Dr. Saul B. Sells Drawer C.

Manpower Research & Advisory Services Smithsonian Institution 601 N. Pitt St. - Suite 120 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Program Director

Anthropological Inquiry Services El Cajon, California 92020 Mrs. Alice I. Snyder 1749 Navaja Lane

Ann Arbor, Kichigan 48103 Dr. Bertram I. Spector CACI, Inc. - Federal Ann Arbor Office 1325 S. Maple 3d

Graduate School of Management Eugena, Oregon 97403 University of Oregon Dr. Richard Scers and Business

Stanford, California 94305 Department of Psychology Dr. Philip G. Zimbardo Stanford University

KISCELLANEOUS MISCELLANEOUS

Human Resource Minagement Conter Naval Training Center (Code 9000) San Diego, Culliornia 92133 Training Officer Navy (cont'd.)

Naval Health Research Center San Diego, California 92152 Scientific Director

Navy Personnel R & D Center (5 copies)

Army Research Institute (2 copies) 5001 Elsenhouer Ava.

Army .

Washington, D. C. 20332

Bolling AFB

AFOSH/NI (Dr. Fregly) Building 410

Air Force

Alexandria, Virginia 22333

San Diego, California 92152

Hunan Resource Management Center FPO New York 09510

Commandant of the Marine Corps

Marine Corps

Washington, D. C. 20380

(Code MPI-20)

Human Resource Management Detachment FTO New York 09521 Napice

Numan Resource Management Detachment Box 41 FPO New York 09540

Chief, Psychological Research Branch U.S. Coast Guard (G-P-1/2/62) Washington, D. C. 20590

Joseph J. Cowan

Coast Guard

Human Resource Management Center Norfolk, Virginia 23511 5621-23 Tidewater Dr

Human Resource Management Center Building 304 Navel Training Center

Bureau of Naval Personnel Scientific Advisor (Pers Or) Washington, D.C. 20370

Human Resource Management School Naval Air Scation Mumphis (96) Millington, Tennassea 38054 · San Diego, California 92133

Bureau of Naval personnel (Pers 6) Assistant Chief of Naval Personnel for Human Resource Management

Washington, D. C. 20370

Bureau of Naval Personnal (Pars 65) Washington, D. C. 20370

Bureau of Naval Peryonnel (?ers 643) Human Resource Management Washington, D. G. 20370

Director of Manpower & Facilities .

Navy Medical R & D Command Betheeda, Maryland 20014

(Cod & 60)

CAPT Paul D. Nelson, MSC, USN

Director, Human Resource Training Dept. Norfolk, Virginia 23521 Naval Amphibious Chool . Little Creek Naval Amphibious Base

1309 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, Virginia 22209 Corrending Officer MAKE Washington

Superintendent (Coda 1424) Naval Postgraduate School . Montorey, California 93940